The Date of Buddha Based on Tamil Literary Tradition

K. V. Ramakrishna Rao H.Sc. M.A. A.M.J.E. C.Enn. (h. R.L.

Introduction: It is well known that the date of Buddha has been fixed as c.567 – 487 BCE. However, the British / European scholars have never conclusively decided it. In fact, they were more particular about fixing the date of Nirvana of Buddha and every scholar has recorded that the date arrived by him is not final. Witheim Geiger in his introduction to **Mehavamsa** records clearly about his attempt to fix the date of Nirvana that his calculation had been only approximate, as it could not be decided conclusively. Vincent Arthur Smith mentioned only that Buddha preached for forty-five years and died aged eightly at Kusinagara and that the date of his decease like that of Mahavira, cannot be determined with accuracy.

in fact, he went on to record that -

"It must be clearly understood that Brahmenical Hindulam continued to exist and to claim innumerable adherents throughout the ages, it may well be doubted if Buddhism can correctly described as having been the preveiling religion in India as a whole at any time. The phrase "Buddhist period" to be found in many books, is false and misleading. Neither a Buddhist nor a Jain period ever existed. From time to time either Buddhism or Jainism obtained exceptional success and an unusually large percentage of adherents in the population of one kingdom or another, but neither heresy ever superceded Brahmanical Hindulani²⁸.

E. J. Rapson also records -

"Unfortunately, even after all that has been written on the subject of early Buddhist chronology, we are still uncertain as to the exact date of Buddha's birth. The date 483 B.C., which is adopted in this history must still be regarded as provisional".

But, the presence of Buddha, his teachings, the Buddhist art and architecture and their impact and predominance on India and the ancient world cannot be ignored. In fact, the religious and philosophic influence on Christianity has been so tremendous that a group of scholars point out a possible development of Christianity from Buddhism⁴. In any case doubting the religious dominance of Buddhism, particularly in india is intriguing.

A. L. Basham has pointed out that the so called Asokan pillars were in existence even before Asoka and compared the animal motifs with that of Indus seals. How Asokan pillars could have been in existence before Asoka?

Analyzing the evidences, K. D. Seitma has fixed date 950 BCE for Asoka⁸. A similar situation is found in the case of the date of Buddha, as Buddhism was there even before Buddha! For such an exigency proof is available in the Tamil Herature, particularly, Manimekhalai.

Based on the archaeological evidences, recently another scholar has also raised some valid points and questions. Giving the examples of the Brazen Palecs (161-137 BCE)

A paper presented at the at the eighth session of Tamil Nadu History Congress held at the University of Madras Chennai, from October 13th and 14th 2001

situated north of Sacred Bo-tree, Buddhist railings at Jetvana Dagoba (276-309 BCE), Abahayagiri Dagoba and Lakrama Dagoba built by Vettagemani Abahaya (89-77 BCE), Thuparama Stupa built by Devanampiyatissa (250-210 BCE), Ruwarweliseya and Mirisayati Dagoba built byu Daltagamani (161-137 BCE), he proves that the stone architecture i.e., Buddhist architecture had been developed in the 3rd century BCE itself in Sri Lanka. As the same type of technology and methodology of architecture existed throughout india during the material period, he raised the following questions—

- Sri Lanka is situated nearly 2400 kms away from Pataliputra or Sanchi. How then the architects / sculptors could have built the same types of structures at these places?
- Does it mean the same type of technology and methodology of architecture was prevalent in the length and breadth of the country?
- 3. What was that technology and methodology? What was the basis of it?
- 4. When Chandragupta Maurya (322-298 BCE) was building his palaces with wood, his grandson Asoka (298-273 BCE) was building so many stupas, Caltyas and monasteriers with stonet How it was possible?

Therefore, definitely, the date of Buddha has been crucial in Indian history in chronological aspect. As Manimekhalai deals with these questions, particularly, it gives the year of birth of Buddha as 1616, to what extent it is consistent with tradition, historical evidences etc., are considered in this paper.

What Sitalal Sattamar Says About Buddha? Buddha is mentioned with different names significantly bringing out the historical facts connected with him.

Name	Reference	Explanation	
Vaman	5.75, 30.13	Beautiful - Buddha, who conquered Maran / Mara.	
Ariyan	25.8	Aryan denotes Buddha. Therefore, the racial connetation attached to this word is questinable.	
Madhavan	21.16	The Great Man of Penance	
Buddha Gnayiru	12.86; 21.167	Buddha, the Sun.	
Perarivalan	12.78	A Very Great Intellect	
Adisan Munivan	7.19	Virtuous Ascetic / Menk.	
Adi Mudhalvan	8.11; 12.108; 30.23	The First First	
Adi Jinendran	29.47	The First Conqueror of Senses	
Periyon	15.22	The Great One	
Bodhi Madhayan	15.29; 21.178	The Enightened one under the Bodhi Tree.	
Pulavon	20.5	Highly Knowledgeable	
Nathan	28.71, 89;		
Bodhi Mulattu Nathan	30.24	Embodiment of Knowledge, who got enightened under the Bodhi Tree.	
Murana Truvaramurty	30.8	Embodiment of Virtues without any contradictions	
Sudhakan	28.95	Denotes Buddha	

Thus, Buddha is considered as the First of First among all virtues and qualities and elevated to Godhead. These adjective descriptions tally with Jataka and other Buddhist traditions.

A paper presented at the at the eighth session of Tamil Nadu History Congress held at the University of Madras Chennai, from October 13th and 14th 2001.

The Time and Date of Birth of Buddha: Sittalai Sattenar (M.15.23-25) mentions about the birth of Abultiran in Javakanadu (Java) with the astronomical position, which is very similar to that of the birth of Buddha as follows:

- 1. Ilavenir / Early Summer period.
- 2. Sun was in Richaba rasi
- Vaisaka month.
- 4. Of 27 stare, 13 crossed.
- 5. In the middle of such stars i.e. at Viseka, as Kartigal is taken as the first star.

This tallies with Buddhist texts, which give the date of birth: He was born on Isanssaka 68. Vaikasi month, Friday, a Pauranami day — Full Moon. But, again, here scholars say they do not know what is that Isanasakai

Interestingly, the Madhavar at Cakravaiakotta were surprised to note the astronomical coincidence (M.1531-32) — How Abultiran could have born on the same day on which Buddha was born? How they knew the birth of Abultiran in Jave from Cakravaiakottem of Tamilagam? How they were observing the planetary and star positions and motions? In any case, it is evident that the date of Buddha born at Kapilavastu and Abultiran born at Java is one and the same. The date of birth of Buddha born at Kapilavastu is determined as — Vaisakha Su.15, Vishekhka, a Friday in March. Full moon ends at 59 gh. 24v.gh. Vaisihaka ends at 24 gh. Therefore, last Friday is 4.6, 31 March.

Now, analyze the year of birth of Buddha as mentioned by Sittaial Sattanar in 12th verse / Kathai, 72-82 lines.

"The Devas who are all at Cakravalakottam fell at the feet of the Glorious Deva together prayed. They were told that like a Sun, who dispels all darkness that surrounds the world, "A Very Great Intellect" (Perarivalan) would appear in the year of 1616 [(2 x 8 x 100) + (2 x 8)] = 1600 + 16 = 1618)".

Here, the expression *Perartvalan* is denoted to Buddha. However, what the year 1616 denotes is not mentioned and the era followed hinted. However, he would not have mentioned the year for fun – $(2 \times 8 \times 100) + (2 \times 8) = 1600 + 16 = 1618$ or mathematical riddle.

Scholars' Opinion on the Year of 1616 Mentioned in Manimekhajai: The opinion based on the study and research of earlier scholars is given as follows:

- 1. U. V. Swaminatha iver: In his commentary, he has noted that to which era, the date belongs to is not known.
- M. M. Yenkatasamv Natter and S. Duralsamv Pilisi: The authors, have though written commentary, about the year 1616, they say - The year mentioned here belongs to which Ere is not known¹⁰. This is the opinion given most of the Tamil scholars.
- D. S. Triveda: The famous (khyatah) Varahamihira, the Court poet of Vikramaditya of Ujjain was born in B.C. 123 on his own statement, for he says that when 427 years of baka king had passed, he was on the earth. By subtracting 427 from 550, the epoch

A paper presented at the at the eighth session of Tamil Nadu History Congress held at the University of Madras Chennai, from October 13th and 14th 2001...

of the Saka Era, we get B.C.123. If we add 123 to 1616, we come to the date 1793 B.C., which is so near to B.C. 1790, our calculated data of the Lord. The netronomical datum contained in the *Manimekhalai* regarding the asterism may also refer to the fourteenth asterism from Ashlesha which began with the advent of the Kali B.C.3101. But, it is for the Tamil scholars to judge how far my interpretation is nearer to the idea implied in the text. So the fourteenth asterism would be Dhanistha which lasted from B.C. 1876 to B.C. 1776. And if the Lord's birth is placed in B.C. 1870 (1790 + 80), it would fall in the fourteenth asterism as asserted by *Manimekhalai*.

Though, he has requested the Tamil scholars to judge his interpretation, it appears, no Tamil scholar has responded to it. Though some Tamil scholars had earlier attempted to find out the date of Nirvana, they had arrived at the date 494 BCE or about, as they had taken the same sources of the earlier scholars. Surprisingly, they have not even mentioned about the date mentioned in Manimekhalai!

Therefore, what this 1616 year denotes? The possibilities are -

- 1. If it is taken as the year after the birth of Kall, it is equal to 3102 1616 = 1486 BCE.
- 2. If Saka era is taken, then, it becomes equal to 1616 + 78 = 1694 BCE.
- 3. If it is already in Saka year, it is taken as such i.e., 1616 BCE.

Here, it can be noted that these dates are nearly 1200 years before the modern dates fixed by the western scholars.

Another Kovajan During the Period of Buddha: Masaituvan, the father of Kovajan got converted to Buddhism. He conferred that as his son was no more, de decided to convert. However, certain information told by him is interesting in the context. He says that there was another Kovajajan, who lived eight generations before the present Kovajan, who built a Calitya in Vanjinagar (M.28:123-136). He was also a friend of Kudakkoc Ceraladhan. Therefore, the possibilities are—

- If the date of the present Kovalan is taken as first century CE, then, the Kovalan of ninth generation before him must have lived at least 450-500 years before him i.e, 500-450 BCE (taking 50 years for each generation 90 x 50).
- As the modern date of Buddha is c.567 487 BCE, he could not have built a Caltya immediately during the period of Buddha.
- In fact, the Buddhist missionaries of Asoka would have gone to Ceylon only during 3rd century BCE.
- 4. Either, Kovalan contemporary of Kudakko Ceraladhan must have lived after the spread of Buddhism in the ancient Tamilagam or Buddha must have existed before his period so that the Buddhism to become so popular among the Tamils and Kovalan to build a Cattya for Buddha.

Therefore, it is evident that Kovalan of earlier period could have bulk a Caltya only after the spread of Buddhism in Tamilagam implying that Buddhism was prevalent during that period in Tamilagam, which is not supported by Sangam illerature.

Sittalal Sattanar's Knowledge of World Geography: Unlike other Tamil poets, Sittalai Sattanar gives a fairly accurate picture about the world, the countries and islands in it, the oceans surrounding them etc. A globe made of clay /earth and depicted at Cakravalakottam contains the following (M.6.190-202):

- 1. The Mount Meru at the centre of the earth.
- Seven mountains surrounding it.
- 3. Four big traditional continents surrounded by oceanic waters.
- 4. About 2000 small islands surrounding them.
- 5. These places are located and explained to the onlookers.
- The existence of tile in these areas, their abodes etc., have been depicted with figures made of day / earth.
- If was designed and executed by the Divine Carpenter / Architect Mayen.

The various countries, cities and other places mentioned are given in TABLE – A appended. It is only illustrative and not exhaustive. But, it clearly proves that the poet knows the places mentioned with clear geographical knowledge of the ancient India and world. It also tallies with the ancient geography and cosmology as has been depicted in lihasas and Puranes.

<u>Uttara Magadha, Magadha and Famine</u>: Sittalai Sattanar specifically mentions Ultara Magadha and Magadha separately. Their significance is analyzed here.

- 1. Uttara Megadha: Kenthirpavai says that Manimekhalai would be born in Uttara Magadha and she would take only male births to fulfill the virtuous acts and become the first Savagan (Srivagan -> Savagan = a person who listens) / disciple of Buddha (21.175-179). Here, it has to be mentioned that she would move away from Kancipuram, as it would be affected by famine for 12 years.
- 2. Magadha: Buddha would be born as a proud Tilek (on the forehead) of Magadha and harbinger of Kapilaiampathi / Kapilavastu (26.42-46). He says that Magahdha would get rains without forgetting (by Indra). Magadha would get rains, hinting thereby there would not be any droughts or famine, as happened in the past. The Jaina texts clearly mention that because of the famine at Magadha for 12 years, Chandragupta Maurya entrusted the kingdom to one of his sons, Simhasena and proceeded to Sravana-Belgola in Mysere along with Baddrabahu to die fasting according to Jaina tenets 13.

Again, in another place, it is mentioned that the metal technicians of Magadha came to Tamilagam to build a Mantap along with minute metal workers of Maratta, Blacksmiths of Aventi, carpenters of Yavanam and of course that of experts of Tamilagam (19.107-111). This also proves the unity and integrity of building technology of Bharat. Mahavastu, a Pati text mentions that the brass utensils of Magadha were popular all over Bharat. Therefore, it is significant the the poet has recorded such minute details about the metal technicians of ancient.

3. Magadha Famous for pre-Asokan Buddhism: Incidentally, Magadha was famous for pre-Asokan Buddhist activities. Pre-Asokan Buddhism was mostly confined to Kosala and Magadha and lesser to Mathura and Ujjaini¹⁶. Therefore, the poet need not make Manimekhali to go to Magadha to continue her labour. It can also be interpreted that he

was narrating events of pre-Asokan period (273-232 BCE), that is why, perhaps, Asoka is not mentioned in his work.

Thus, it is evident that Sittalai Sattanar was recording such historical events in his works. Therefore, his data about the date of birth of Buddha has to be taken into account carefully to find out the truth.

Cakravalakottam and the Astronomical Knowledge of Sittalal Sattanar, Cakravala = horizon + kottam = a place, thus the place for horizon Implying observing horizon. The description of Cakravalakottam and Manipallavam does not give full picture, the available depiction clearly points to the following concept of Meru.

- The Buddhapada was on a cubical pedestal of 1 muzham / 3.75 ft height, which was
 in turn situated on a padmam, a five-petal lotus shaped platform and a circular
 structure underneath. The entire structure had openings pointing the four cardinal
 points with 9 muzham / 11.25 ft width (M.8:45-49).
- In three dimensions, the figure resembles half of the structure of Mount Meru. Cakravalakottam is depicted containing 4 invisible Brahmas, 16 visible Brahmas, 2 Lights Sun & Moon, 6 Devaganas, 8 Narakas and others (M.6:176-185). Four types of devas are mentioned: 1. Vasus 8; 2. Adityas 12; 3. Rudras 11 and 4. Marutts 2 (thus total = 33).
- In Cakra form, it resembles Sri Cakra, the two dimensional representation of Meru.
- Mention has already been made above about the globular structure at Cakravalakottam.
- it contains wide view of horizon with asterisms, days, planets assigning respective gods to them. Therefore, it is nothing but an observatory with observers recording the movement of asterisms, planets etc.
- 6. Mention has also been made about his recording of time of birth with planetery position.

When Buddha was Known, Why Asoka Not Known in Manimekhala!? Sitislai Sattanar has vividly described Buddha in his work, but no whisper made about Asoka (c.273-232 BCE). As Asoka is considered as the ardent and zealous missionary who spread Buddhism throughout India and even outside, absence of his name in the Sangam literature — Ettuttogal and Pattppattu is also intriguing, though Mortyar have been mentioned.

Therefore, a question arises as to whether Buddhism was not dominant during that period 500 BCE to 500 CE or 300 BCE to 300 CE or its influence was contained. About the pre-Asokan Buddhism in Tamilagam, scholars have already debated 18. Had Asoka's son Mahendra and daughter Sangamitra gone through Tamilagam with their missionaries, Sittatai Sattanar or any other Sangam poet would not have missed such an event. But, as such events have been conspicuously missing, the following questions arise in the context:

Why the impact of Buddhism was not fell during the

A paper presented at the at the eighth session of Tamil Nadu History Congress held at the University of Madras Chennai, from October 13th and 14th 2001

- If so, whether Buddhism could have entered earlier and been contained to such an extent, it was perhaps eliminated completely?
- 3. How Buddhism could have spread into Tamilagam before Asoke?
- 4. Why more Jaina influence was felt in Tamilagam than Buddhism?
- 5. Why some scholars have tried to show that Tolkappiyar was a Jain than a Buddhist?
- 6. Had Asoka's son Mahendra and daughter Sangamitra not gone through Tamilagam with their missionaries?

Had Asoka's son Mahendra and daughter Sangamitra gone through Tamilagam with their missionaries or Not? This is the crucial question to be analyzed critically. It has to be noted that the versions about the sojourn of Mahindra and Sangamitra have been based on traditions. According to one such tradition, Asoka was associated with the viceroyalties of Talshasila and Ujjaini. Nilakanta Sastri argues based on inscriptional evidences that these were held by the princes of the royal family. He adds that the beginning young Asoka's viceroyalty of Ujjaini was marked by romance. When he halted at Vidise on his way to the provincial capital, he fell in love with Devi, the beautiful daughter of a merchant, and made her his wife. The two children born out of this marriage, a son and a daughter, were Mahindra and Sangamitta, who having renounced the world attained calebrity as the authors of conversion of Ceylon to Buddhism (Mahavamsa, XIII.8-11; Dipavamsa, VI.15-17). However, scholars have had doubts about these incidences, as each version differs from the other.

Vincent Smith accepts Hiuen Tsang's statement that Mahendra was a brother, not son, of Asoka, and follows Oldenberg in doubting the real existence of Sangamilra. After discussing the untrustworthiness of the Ceylonese chronicles, he records.

"We must be content to admit our ignorance, which is likely to continue. I am scaptical about the tale of Sanhamitra, the supposed daughter of Asoka. Her name means Friend of the Order' is extremely suspicious, and the inscriptions give no indication of her existence. Professor Oldenberg has justification for his opinion that the story of Mahinda and his sister seems to have been —

"Invented for the purpose of possessing a history of the Buddhist Institutions in the island, and to content it with the most distinguished person conceivable – the great Asoka. The historical legend is fond of poetically exciting endingry occurrences into great and brilliant actions; we may assume that, in reality things were accomplished in a more gradual and "less striking manner than such legends make them appear" 18.

Vincent Smith concludes,".....not withstanding the mythology which has gathered around his name, Mendra or Mahinda, the young brother of Asoka, was a real, historical personage, and therefore can be no doubt that he was a pionser in his diffusion of Buddism in Ceylon." 19.

Based on the 7th century Malakotta Pandyan records, Smith agrees for the suggestion that Mahendra might have gone to Ceylon by following a land route instead of flying to reach Ceylon as per the Ceylonese chronicles. However, the Ceylonese chronicles record that Maha Arlita, nephew of King Devanamplya Tissa / Tishya (250-210 BCE) with envoys reached the port of Tamatipti (Tamiuk in Bengal) in seven days and reached

the Imperial Court of Asoka in another seven days to meet Asoka in person²⁰. Therefore, it is evident that Mahendra must have reached Ceylon by ship, as Tamilagam was not receptive. That is why perhaps Siltalai Sattanar had known Buddha but not Asoka. Or he lived before Asoka (273-232 BCE)?

Vincent Smith also²¹ gives another important detail that Hieun Tsang like Asokavadana placed Asoka a century after Buddha, the date assigned by the Ceylonese legend to Kalasoka. The conversion of Ceylon, according to him took place one hundred years after the death of Buddha i.e., in 387 BCE (487 – 100). Therefore, that becomes the date of Asoka instead of 273-232 BCEI

Here, all have been discussing only about the spread of Buddhism in Ceylon and not in Tamilagam. As Buddhism had evidently developed as a State religion in Ceylon during 3rd century BCE, there is a possibility that Buddhism might have entered into Tamilagam through Ceylon, as it was already under its sway. It has to be mentioned that Buddhism had been active during many centuries before current era in the South East Asian countries. Therefore, Buddhism might have entered into Tamilagam from these countries through Buddhist merchants and missionaries, which has been succinctly and vividly described by Sittalai Sattanar in Manimekhalai.

Why Tamilagam Was Not Conducte to Buddhism? Hatigumba inscription of Kelinga King Kharavela (176-163 BCE) mentions that he destroyed a "Tramira / Dramira Desha Sangatana" — a Tamil confederation of Kings or League of Tamil States, which posed a great danger to him in his 1th year of reign i.e. in 165 BCE. This shows that the strong tamil reperation was in existence from 278 to 165 BCE (165 + 113) i.e. for 113 years. Therefore, politically, Sangam period Tamil Kings had been strong enough to resist the influence of Mauryas and Katingas. It is a common feature that Tamilagam practiced enimal sacrifice extensively in the worship of Kandu / Murugan / Veten. Therefore, they were not receptive to Buddhism that laught total non-violence, non-killing of animals etc.

What Are the Dates Given by the European scholars? The European scholers have given the following dates to Buddha Nirvana. However, it noted as to why they had been so obsessed with the date of Nirvana than others are intriguing. Buddhist archaeological evidences are enormous and spread throughout the ancient world – China, Middle East, Central Asia, Europe and South East Asian countries, and there are even now available. Surprisingly, most of them are datable to 4.

SI. No	Author / Authority / Reference	Given dates all	Birth date
1	Kem	368; 380; 388	448; 460; 468
2	Rhys Davids	412	502
3	Max Mueller	477	527
4	Oldenberg	480	560
5	J. F.Fleet	482	562
6	Fachu	483	563
7	Canton tradition	485	565
8	R. M. Smith	486	566
9	Y. A. Smith, Early History of India	487	567
10	V. A. Smith, Asoka	508	588
11	Mahavarnsa	520	600

A paper presented at the at the eighth session of Tamil Nadu History Congress held at the University of Madras Chennai, from October 13th and 14th 2001

12	Siam tradition	529	609	
13	Dipavamsa and Ceylon tradition	543 613		
14	Inscriptional record at Gaya	633 713		
15	Pegu and Chinese tradition	638	718	
16	Tibetan tradition	653	733	
17	Ma Mueller	683	763	
18	Padmakarpo	835	915	
19	Tibetan dates	837; 880; 882;	917; 960; 962;	
20	Mongol chrenology	901 981		
21	Georgi	959, 960	1039; 1040	
22	Sir William Jones	1004	1084	
23	Sir William Jones, His works, Vol.IV, pp.17, 1027 1107		1107	
24	Tibelan date	1031 1111		
25	Max Mueller, History of Sanskrit Literature, pp.141-143.	1221	1301	
26	Fleet ²³ , according to Rajatarangini Asoka fived about 1260 BCE	1631	1711	
27	Sir James Prinsep	1332	1412	
28	Abdul Fazi, Aln-I-Akbari	1367	1447	
29	Sittalai Saltanar, Manimekalai	1616	1696	
30	D. S. Triveda	1790; 1793	1870; 1873	
	Thisuvenkatacharya	1807	1887	
32	Tibetan and Chinese traditional dates	2135; 2139; 2148; 2422	2215; 2219; 2128; 2502	

From the above, it is clear that the scholars can be divided into three categories -

One group assigning period around 6th-5 th centuries BCE.

Second group assigning to 18 ^{sn} – 17 ^m centuries BCE.

3. Third group to 26 th-25th centuries BCE.

Why the dates should range so widely? It is evident that all scholars have decided their dates only based on speculations, though, they dealt with therary, spigraphical, numismatic, scriptural, astronomical and other evidences.

<u>Buddhism Sefore Buddha (c.567-487 BCE)!</u>: The topic appears to anachronistic and idiosyncratic, but there have certain records and evidences to show such an exigency prevailed.

- According to a book called "Fu-Tsu-Li-Tai-Tung-Tsai" (a general record of Buddha and Patriarches in all ages) a history of Buddhism during the years of Ling Mu of Chou dynasty (1001-945 BCE), a Dava came from India to China. This Deva, it was believed, would turn hills into rivers, rivers into hills, transform cities and towns, enters water and fire; in short, he was credited with every kind of super-natural powers. The king received him as his saint and built a palace to accommodate him
- The date of setting up an image of 'Mitra Bodhisalva' is mentioned by Fa-Hien as follows: "The image was put about three hundred years after the Nilvana of Buddha,

A paper presented at the at the eighth session of Tamil Nadu History Congress held at the University of Madras Chennai, from October 13th and 14th 2001

which occurred during the reign of King P'ing of the Chow Dynasty (770-719 B.C.)²⁵. As king P'ing's reign lasted from 750-719 B.C. this would place the death of Buddha in the Eleventh century B.C.

- A. V. Thyagaraja Aiyar states that a temb in Athans discovered during 1956 contains an inscription which reads, "Here lies Indian Sramanacharya from Bodha Gaya a Sakya monk taken to Greece by his Greek pupils and temb marks his death at about 1000 B.C."
- 4. G. Bongard-Levin and A. Vigasin opine that one may suppose that the first followers of the Buddha arrived in Central Asia as far as back as the Achaemenia era (558-320 BCE), however, there is no direct information about this. Then discussing the literary and archaeological evidences, they come to conclusion that thus, there are quite sufficient grounds for dating the penetration of Buddhism into the southern regions of Central Asia as the 2 nd-1st centuries B.C. 27.
- Another Chinese book "Fu-tou-Tang-ChF stated that in the fourth year of King Chang of Chin States (268 BCE), 18 monks headed by one Shri Bandhu came to China from India 28.
- Seafarring Buddhists were not uncommon. Kern refers in his Buddhismus (Vol.11, p.279) to Yas'as, the third patriarch in the Northern succession, and tells that during Buddha's lifetime he had been a merchant-sailor ("Seehandler") 29.
- 7 Nor were all Buddhist missionaries natives of India. Among those sent out after the Tird Council under Tishya-Maudgatiputra was Dharmarakshita, "the Greek", who laboured with success among the natives of Western Asia.
- 8. Scythian and Parthian missionaries were likewise active 29.
- Asoka (273-232 BCE) built some of his stupes in China and his missionary Shih Lifering acquainted the Chinese with Buddhist texts during the reign of Emperor Hu (221-208 BCE) 59.
- 10. Buddhist missionaries visited the court of Tisin Emperor in 217 BCE ...
- 11. During the reign of Wu (140-87 BCE), the influence Buddhist teaching was found
- 12. According to Pan-ku, who fived in the end of 1st century, in his work itsean-Han-Shu, trade relations between Kanchipuram and China go back to Wu period (140-86 BCE). Kanchipuram is mentioned as Yuwantse ⁵³.
- 13. The same book also records what the Indian sage Kasyapa Matunga told Emperor Ming-ti (58-75 CE) of the Han dynasty, "In the past the King Asoka built 84,000 pagedes for storing the relics of Buddha, 19 of which were within the country of China³³⁴.

If appears that Chinese literary traditions have strong case for Buddhism existing there well before the present date assigned to Buddha. The Central Asian archaeological findings also prove the spread of Buddhism there during the 3rd century BCE. The contemporary spread of Buddhism also raises a question as to how it could have been

possible, when it was not even conceived or had been in formative stages. The pre-Asokan Suddhism prevalent in Magadha and Valsall as pointed out above and other places outside as shown now, clearly point a fact that Buddhism had spread even before Asokan converted to Buddhism or sterted his missionary activities.

Conclusion: Based on the above discussion and data, the following conclusions are

- 1 Sittals: Sattanar has been the first Tamil poet to record the day Friday, New Moon and -year of birth of Buddha as 1616, though to which era it belonged is not hinted.
- Probably, he has been the first Tamil poet to give the ancient cosmological and geographical picture of world and India tallying with the details of Itihasas and Puranas.
- 3 He has been the first Tamil poet to mention and describe an observatory in Tamilagam, which was used to observe the movement of asterisms, planets etc., and the dates of events of personalities.
- 4 His description suggests that he might be describing Tamillagam, when there was no Meuryan influence felt.
- 5 His description suggests that Buddhism might have come into Tamilagam from Ceylon than the northern India introduced by Asokan missionaries supported by the mythological nature of Mahenran-Sangamira story
- His recording of certain historical details of ancient India is significant.
- 7 The pre- Asokan pillers prove the archaeological contradictions in fluing the dates.
- The wide range of dates given and discussed by the western scholars shows that attacheeological evidences and Merary evidences are not taken into account.
- 9 The prevalent of Buddhism before Suddha, that too during his own times far and wide in different parts of the world with literary and archaeological evidence, as pointed out, makes one to think about the validity of the current date of Buddha.
- 10. Scholers of all fields should consider the data and information given in the ancient Tamil literature to find out the veracity of them for getting better results.

A paper presented at the eighth session of Tamil Nadu History Congress held at the Janversity of Madras Chennai, from OltABil® and 14th 2001

Name	Detail	Reference	Remarks, according to the text
Bharatem	Country	M.Padigam.22	The name of India has been specifically
		18.57	mentioned as Bharatam.
Mount Moru	A mountain	M.18.92	Centre of the world cosmologically.
Seravana	A lake	M 18 92	A sacred lake, where Murugan was born,
Vindhya	A mountain	20 117, 120	Ourga resides in the mountain.
Maleyam	A mountain	13	Equated with Pothigar
Kentherem	One of the Janapadas of Bharat in the northeast	M 9 13	Present Afgenisten, from where the mother of Duryodhana Kanthari hailed.
Kapilaiyampadhi	Kapilavastu	26.44, 28.143	The tirth place of Buddha
Varenasi	Kasi	M.13.3, 78	The sacred place situated on the Ganges
Kası	As above	M. 24 68	
Ganges	A sacred river	M.Padigam.17 , 26 12	The secred river where both is taken to remove sins
Utters Magadh	North Magadha	M.21 175	Buddhism was here even before Asoka
Magadha	An important country / empire / city	19.107; 26.42	Where Manimekhalal would be bern as the first disciple of Buddha
Katinga	A country of	26.46	
Singapuram	A country of Bharet	26.17	As it is merstioned along with Kapiiavastu and Kalinga, it must have been in the north.
Sanbai	A city	7-102	A city on the banks of Ganges
Tenthisel Kameri	A river	M.13.7, 83	Sacred pilgrimage centre, where bath is
Kumeripadam	A place	M.13,74	The feet of Goddess Kumari have to be worshipped as prescribed in the Vedas.
Nagariennedu	Negaland	M:9.21	The place where Neges aved
Konca	A city	M 13,84	
Keverpumpattinem	Cole's Capitel	M.28.135	After the submergence, Coles moved to
Kaveri	A river	M Padigara 12 ; 22.40	A sacred river for bath to remove sins
Aventi .	Also logowm as Ujjami	M 9.28; 19.107	An important astronomical city, where the indian longitude passes.
Unjei	Refers to Ujjaini	N. 15 64	An important astronomical city, where the indian longitude passes.
Asodharam	A country / city	M.9.38	it might have been situated on the shores of Arabian sea, as its activities are compred with the sound of Aravikkedel or a sea producing noise / sound.
Cedi	Situaled beyond Himalayas	M 17.21	Situated in the northern Sheret, Land of Vidhyadharar,
Kanchanapuram	in Cedi	M.17.22	A protected city in Cedi
Kachi	A city	M.Padi 90; 21,154	An important Buddhist certire
Kenchinedu	A city	M.28 158	Equated with Kenchipurem

Langai	Cados L. Sri	M 28-107-143	story Congress held at the University of.	
	Lanka	Sir-ectobel-23	India or otherwise has to be considered	
Pendinannedu	The lend of Pendyas	M.14.55	There was famine, because rains failed for 12 years	
Javagam	Jeve	M.14.74, 103; 15.3; 21.89	One of the South East Asian Buddhis	
Gomukhi	In Menipallevam	M.14,91	A sacred lake, where both is taken.	
Kosambi	A city	M.15.61		
Manipellavem	An island	M.Pedi.44, 74 etc	An important island of the poem, but it location is disputed. However, it can be located in one of the Islands of South East Asian countries.	
Navelentivu	Equated with Jainbuttlyu	M.2.1; 28.160; 9.17; 11.107, 15.20; 22.29	The Island where Neval trees (Calyptrenthus Caryophillofelia) bearing fruits grow.	
Tamilegem	Ancient Tamilnadu	M.17.62	Comprising Cera, Cola and Pendys Kingdoms or South India	
Jambuttivu	The ancient Bharat	M.1.35; 17.62	It is equated with Nevalntivu. Jambu =	
Merelbar	Meharestra	M.19.107	The people of Marata, Maharastra,	
Yavanar	Greece or Rome		The people of Yevana, Greece / Rome.	
Tentamizhar	People of Temilagem	M.19.109	The people of Temliegam.	
Venji	A city, capital	M.Pedi.86; 19; 25.208, 212, 238; 21.91	Capital of Core country of Tamilagam.	
Takkana Medurai	Souhern Medurai	M.13.105; 22.108	Madurei city situated in the southern direction.	
Takkana Perur	Madural	M.13.108	100 1	
Tentamizh Medurai	Southern Tamil Medurai	M.25.139	Medural with Southern Tamil or city situated in the southern direction, where Tamil is spoken.	
Anterattivu	The island situated inside	M.25,224	Considered as one of the Four big Islands or continents mentioned earlier.	
Aganperuntivu	inner big island	M.25.224	Considered as one of the Four big Island or continents mentioned earlier	
Kavaripumpattina m	A port city		An important city-port submerged during first contury BCE.	
Cambalhi vanam	A worshipping place	M.3.54	Cambathi was brother of Jatayu.	
Kavera vanam	A worshipping place	M.3.56	Keveran, father of Keverl	

The text and commentaries of *Manimekhalai* of different commentators have been consulted and free translation is used here for interpretation.

As for as the spread of Buddhism, translation of Buddhist canonic works, there have been extensive literature available on the topic.

Many original research papers are available in the Journal of Royal Asiatic Society, Calcutta, Journal of Royal Asiatic Society of Britain & Ireland etc. Therefore, here only the selected references are mentioned in the context.

- 1. Wilheim Geiger, Mahavamsa, 1912, p.24.
- Vincent Arthur Smith, <u>The Oxford History Of India</u>, Oxford at Clarendon Press, U.K. 1923, pp.51-52.
 - E. J. Rapson, Cambridge History of India, Vol.1, p.171.
- 3. lbld, p.55.
- Henry T. Princep, <u>Tibet, Tartary and Mongolia</u>, p.171 He traces the origin of Jesus from Buddha.

Dwight Goddard, Was Jesus Influenced by Buddhism?, Thetford VI. 1927;

Holger Kersten & Elmar R. Gruber, The Original Jesus - The Buddhist Sources of Christianity,

- D. R. Bhandarker, Asoka, University of Calcutta, 1969, p.148.
- A. L. Basham, <u>The Wonder That Wee India</u>, p.364.
- K. D. Sethna, <u>Ancient India in a New Light</u>, Aditya Prakashan, New Delhi, 1989, pp.390-394.
- 7. Vedaprakash, Was Indian Stone art Derived from the Chaldeans, Greeks, Romans or Persians? in Contribution of South India to Indian art and Architecture, Bharatiya Itihasa Sankalana Samiti, Medica, 1999, pp.37-38.
- 8. V.Thiruvenkatacharya, The Correct Date of Buddha's Death (An Astronomical Proof),
- 9. U. V. Swainatha tyer, Manimekhalai,
- N. M. Venkatasamy Nattar and S. Duraisamy Pillai, <u>Manimekhalai</u>, T. S. S. N. Kazhagam, Chennal, 1994, p.470.
- D. S. Triveda, <u>The Date of Lord Buddha 1793 B.C.</u>, Bharatiya Vidye, Vol.III, pp.222-238.

- A paper presented at the at the eighth session of Tamil Nadu History Congress held at the University of Procedulings Charles Containing Charles 19 and 19 at Hyderabad), 1941, p.127.
 - E. M. Subramaniya Pillai, <u>Buddha Nirvana Kalam</u>, Centamil Selvi, Krodhana, Vaikasi, pp.213-216.
 - 13. K. A. Nilakantasastri, Ace of the Nandas and Mauryas, Motilal Banarasidass, New Delhi, 1951, p.165, 2,97,
 - William Jones, <u>Mahavastu</u>, Vol.II, p.566 and Vol.III, p.85, quoted by Asim Kumar Chatterjee in his paper <u>Geography of Later Buddhism</u> in <u>Buddhism Early and Late Phases</u>, Calculta University Press, 1985, pp.123-124.
 - 16. K. A. Nilakantasastri, opt.cit, p.299.
 - T. N. Vasudeva Rao, <u>Buddhism in Tamil Country</u>, Annamalai University, Cidambaram, 1979, pp.1-2, 10.
 - S. Krishnasamy lyengar, The Buddhism of Manimekhalai in the <u>Buddhist Studies</u> by B. C. Law, 1931, p.1.
 - 17. K. A. Nilakantasastri, opt.cit, p.209.
 - 18. V. Olderberg, *introduction to the Vinavapitakam*, p.4 (ii), quoted by Vincent A. Smith, *Asoka*, Low Price Publications, New Delhi, 1990, p.48.
 - 19. S. Vincent Smith, Ibid, p.50.
 - 20. Ibid, p.238.
 - 21. ibld, p.259.
 - 22. These dates of Lord Buddha's Nirvana, as mentioned in the column.3 of the Table have been collected and mentioned as in the following books:
 - Sm. Vidyadevi, The Annals of Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute, Poona, Vol.30, p.346.
 - D. S. Triveda, Indian Chronology, Bharatiya Vidnya Bhavan, Bombay, 1963.
 - Shriram Sathe, <u>Dates of the Buddha</u>. Bharatiya Itihasa Sankalana Samiti, Hyderabad, 1987.

Thus, the dates of birth as shown in the column 4 is derived and mentioned for study.

"We should find that the Rajatarangini would place Asoka somewhere about 1260 BC. We should prefer to select the date B.C.1280. And then we should set about arranging the succession of the Kings of India Itself from Puranas, with B.C. 1280 for the approximate date of the accession of Asoka as our starting point".

- 24. Chaman Lal, India Mother of Us All, 1968, New Dethi, p.101.
- A. Giles (Trans.), <u>Travels of Fa-Hien, 399-414 A.D or Record of Buddhistic</u> <u>Kingdoms</u>, Cambridge, U.K, 1923, p.10.

James Leggie, <u>A Record of Buddhistic Kinadoms by Fa-Hien 399-414 A.D.</u> 1886, p.24, 25, Foot notes 3-5.

- 26. A. V. Thayagaraja Aiyar, *Indian Architecture*, quoted by A. Somayajuku, Dates in Ancient History of India, pp.112-113.
- G. Bongard-Levin and A. Vigasin, <u>The image of India</u>, Progress Publishers, Moscow, 1984, p.214-215.
- 28. Chaman Lal, opt.cit, p.101.
- 29. Donald A. Mackenzie, <u>Buddhism in Pre-Christian Britain</u>, Blackie & Son Ltd., U.K., 1928, pp. 36-39.

Transactions of the Asiatic Society of Japan, Vol.XXXV, Parl.2, p.227, note.1, quoted by Donald A. Mackenzie.

Donald A. Mackenzie, opt.cit, p.39.

 Buddha Prakash, <u>Social Aspects of Spread of Buddhist Preaching</u> in Contribution of India to World Thought and Culture, Madras, 1975, p.333.